UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,642	01/07/2004	Naofumi Nakamura	790001-2042	4109
	7590 08/11/200 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			CHU, CHRIS C	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/752,642	NAKAMURA ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHRIS C. CHU	2815				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) CHRIS C. CHU (USPTO-Examiner).	(3)					
(2) Grace L. Pan (Applicant's representative).	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>05 August 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 11</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Chan et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,468,906) and Jan (U. S. Pat. No. 6,861,758).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant further explained the invention and presented arguments directed to the Jan reference teaching the additive in the via between the two metal wiring layers. Applicant argued that the two metal wiring layers contain the additive. No agreement was reached. These arguments as well as any other amendments will be fully considered when filed in response to the final rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Chris C. Chu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 28 Examiner's signature, if requi					

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)